arXiv:1607.07779v1 [math.AG] 26 Jul 2016

GLOBAL DEFORMATIONS OF CERTAIN RATIONAL ALMOST HOMOGENEOUS PROJECTIVE BUNDLES

FLORIAN SCHRACK

Abstract. We study global deformations of certain projective bundles over projective spaces. We show that any projective global deformation of a projective bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$ carries the structure of a projective bundle over some projective space. Furthermore, we construct examples in arbitrary dimension ≥ 3 of almost homogeneous Fano projective bundles over $\mathbb{P}^2$ which can be globally deformed to non-almost homogeneous manifolds.

1. Introduction

We let $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the unit disk and consider families $(X_t)_{t\in\Delta}$ of compact complex manifolds, i.e., proper submersions $p\colon \mathcal{X} \to \Delta$, where $\mathcal{X}$ is a complex manifold and $X_t := p^{-1}(t)$ for $t \in \Delta$. In this setup, we call X_0 a *(global) deformation* of X_t.

A classical problem is to study the case where for all $t \neq 0$, X_t is isomorphic to some rational homogeneous manifold $S = G/P$, where G is a semisimple Lie group and $P < G$ a parabolic subgroup. The question then is whether also X_0 is rational homogeneous (and thus $X_0 \cong S$ by local rigidity of rational homogeneous manifolds, cf. [Bot57]).

If one assumes $b_2(S) = 1$, Hwang and Mok showed in a series of papers (see [Mok16, §3.3] for references and an outline of the proof) that this is true if S is not isomorphic to the 7-dimensional Fano contact manifold $\mathbb{F}^5$, which has been shown by Pasquier–Perrin in [PP10] to admit a deformation to a non-homogeneous horospherical variety.

If $b_2(S) > 1$, it is obviously no longer true that $X_0 \cong S$, as can already be seen for $S = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, which can be deformed to the Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F}_k$ for arbitrary even k.

So we see that X_0 need not necessarily be homogeneous. In the examples cited above, however, X_0 is still *almost* homogeneous, i.e., $\operatorname{Aut} X_0$ acts on X_0 with an open orbit (this is equivalent to T_{X_0} being *generically* globally generated). We can therefore ask:

Question 1.1. Let $(X_t)_{t\in\Delta}$ be a family of compact complex manifolds where X_t is rational homogeneous for $t \neq 0$. Is then X_0 almost homogeneous?

We might even ask the following stronger question:

Question 1.2. Let $(X_t)_{t\in\Delta}$ be a family of compact complex manifolds where X_t is an almost homogeneous manifold for every $t \neq 0$. Is then X_0 almost homogeneous?

The purpose of the present article is to give negative answers to both questions: We show that the homogeneous variety $\mathbb{P}(T_{\mathbb{P}^2})$ can be deformed to a non-almost homogeneous manifold. This answers Question 1.1 negatively for $\dim X_t = 3$ and $b_2(X_t) = 2$. Taking products with projective spaces, one also obtains negative answers for $\dim X_t > 3$ and $b_2(X_t) \geq 3$.

Moreover, for any $n \geq 3$, we construct almost homogeneous n-dimensional projective bundles over $\mathbb{P}^2$ which are Fano and can be deformed to non-almost homogeneous manifolds. These examples give negative answers to Question 1.2 for $\dim X_t \geq 3$ and $b_2(X_t) = 2$.

In order to give some context to the above-mentioned examples, we begin our discussion by reviewing the theory of almost homogeneous compact complex surfaces in the context of global deformations in section 2. We furthermore study projective global deformations of projective bundles over $\mathbb{P}^1$ in section 3, where we show that such global deformations again carry the structure of a projective bundle and in particular are almost homogeneous in most cases. This is inspired by work of Brieskorn [Bri65].

The remanining sections are then devoted to the construction of the examples announced above: In section 4, we give some criteria for almost homogeneity of projective bundles. Finally, in section 5, we give the construction of the above-mentioned (almost) homogeneous Fano projective bundles over $\mathbb{P}^2$ which admit global deformations to non-almost homogeneous manifolds.

Throughout the article, complex manifolds are assumed to be *connected*. We use the notation "$\mathbb{P}(E)$" to denote the projective bundle of *hyperplanes* in the fibers of a given vector bundle E.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank Thomas Peternell for bringing to my attention the questions which inspired this article and for numerous useful discussions.

2. The surface case

Almost homogeneous compact complex surfaces have been classified by Potters:

Theorem 2.1 ([Pot69])**.** *Let S be a compact complex surface. If S is almost homogeneous, then one of the following holds:*

- *(i) S is obtained from $\mathbb{P}^2$ or from a Hirzebruch surface by blowing up a finite number of points,*
- *(ii) $S \cong \mathbb{P}(V)$, where V is a rank-2 bundle over an elliptic curve which is either a direct sum of $\mathcal{O}$ and a topologically trivial line bundle or a non-split extension of $\mathcal{O}$ with $\mathcal{O}$,*
- *(iii) S is a Hopf surface with abelian fundamental group,*
- *(iv) S is a two-dimensional complex torus.*

Conversely, $\mathbb{P}^2$, the Hirzebruch surfaces and the surfaces of type (ii), (iii), (iv) are almost homogeneous.

It is classically known that any deformation of of a Hirzebruch surface is again a Hirzebruch surface (cf. [Bri65]). We will investigate projective bundles over $\mathbb{P}^1$ in arbitrary dimension in section 3. Global deformations of such bundles will be characterized in Theorem 3.4.

For ruled surfaces over elliptic curves, global deformations fail to be almost homogeneous in general, as the following example shows (cf. [PS14]): Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a rank-2 vector bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \Delta$ such that $E_t := \mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{t\}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus 2}$ for $t \neq 0$ and $E_0 \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-k) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(k)$ for some $k > 0$. Let $\eta\colon A \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be a 2-sheeted cover from an elliptic curve A, and let $\mathcal{X} := \mathbb{P}((\eta \times \mathrm{id})^*\mathcal{E})$. Then $\mathcal{X}$ is a family of compact complex surfaces over Δ with $X_t \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times A$ for $t \neq 0$ and X_0 is a ruled surface over A which is not almost homogeneous by Theorem 2.1.

For the other cases in Theorem 2.1, we cite the following classical results by Kodaira and Kodaira–Spencer:

Proposition 2.2 ([Kod66, Thm. 36])**.** *Let S be a Hopf surface. Then any deformation of S is also a Hopf surface.*

Proposition 2.3 ([KS58, Thm. 20.2])**.** *Let S be a two-dimensional complex torus. Then any deformation of S is also a complex torus.*

Remark 2.4. Catanese showed in [Cat04, Thm. 2.1] that Proposition 2.3 is true in any dimension.

3. Projective bundles over $\mathbb{P}^1$

In this section we investigate families whose general fiber is isomorphic to a $\mathbb{P}^r$-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$ (see Corollary 4.2 for a proof that any such bundle is almost homogeneous).

We first study extremal contractions of projective bundles over $\mathbb{P}^1$:

Proposition 3.1. *For given natural numbers $0 \leq a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_r$ consider the vector bundle $E := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_r)$ over $\mathbb{P}^1$ and let $\pi\colon X := \mathbb{P}(E) \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be the associated $\mathbb{P}^r$-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$. Let $\varphi\colon X \to Y$ be the contraction of a K_X-negative extremal ray of $\overline{NE}(X)$. Assume $\varphi \neq \pi$. Then either $E \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus(r+1)}$ or $E \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus r} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$.*

Proof. First observe that $\mathrm{Nef}(X)$ is spanned as a convex cone by the classes of the tautological bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ and the pullback $\pi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$. But now $\mathrm{Nef}(X)$ and $\overline{NE}(X)$ are dual cones, so

$$\overline{NE}(X) = \mathbb{R}_0^+ \ell + \mathbb{R}_0^+ C,$$

where ℓ is the class of a line in a fiber of π and C is some curve class with $C.\mathcal{O}_X(1) = 0$ and $C.\pi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) > 0$. From

$$K_X = \mathcal{O}_X(-r-1) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_1 + \cdots + a_r - 2)$$

it then follows that $C.K_X < 0$ if and only if $a_1 + \cdots + a_r < 2$. □

Remark 3.2. In the situation of Proposition 3.1, the case $E \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus(r+1)}$ means that $X \cong \mathbb{P}^r \times \mathbb{P}^1$, while the case $E \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus r} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ means that X is isomorphic to a blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^{r+1}$ along a codimension-2 linear subspace.

The following Lemma will later be applied to the central fiber of the family:

Lemma 3.3. *Let X be a smooth projective variety and assume that X is homeomorphic to a $\mathbb{P}^r$-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^m$. Then any surjective morphism $\phi\colon X \to \mathbb{P}^m$ is equidimensional (i.e., every fiber of ϕ has dimension r).*

Proof. We mostly copy the proof for a slightly less general statement from [PS14].

Since $\dim X = m + r$, a general fiber of ϕ must have dimension r. Let F_0 be an irreducible component of a fiber of ϕ. Then F_0 gives rise to a class

$$[F_0] \in H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{Q}),$$

where k is the codimension of F_0 in X. Semicontinuity of fiber dimension yields $k \leq m$. We must show that $k = m$.

We first observe that by the Leray–Hirsch theorem, we have

$$h^{2k}(X, \mathbb{Q}) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} h^{2k-2\ell}(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathbb{Q}) \cdot h^{2\ell}(\mathbb{P}^m, \mathbb{Q}) = \min\{k, r\} + 1,$$

where we used that $h^{2\ell}(\mathbb{P}^m, \mathbb{Q}) = 1$ since $k \leq m$. We let $d := \min\{k, r\}$ and denote by L the class of an ample divisor on X and by H the class of a hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}^m$. We claim that the classes

$$L^d.(\phi^* H)^{k-d}, L^{d-1}.(\phi^* H)^{k-d+1}, \ldots, L.(\phi^* H)^{k-1}, (\phi^* H)^k \tag{1}$$

form a basis of $H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{Q})$, which can be seen as follows: By the dimension count established above, it suffices to show linear independency, so assume we are given $\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_d \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{d} \lambda_\ell L^{d-\ell}.(\phi^* H)^{k-d+\ell} = 0. \tag{2}$$

Let $\ell_0 \in \{0, \ldots, d\}$ and assume by induction that $\lambda_\ell = 0$ for all $\ell < \ell_0$. Then intersecting (2) with $L^{r-d+\ell_0}.(\phi^* H)^{m-k+d-\ell_0}$ yields

$$\lambda_{\ell_0} L^r.(\phi^* H)^m = 0,$$

thus $\lambda_{\ell_0} = 0$ since $L^r.(\phi^* H)^m > 0$.

So (1) is indeed a basis of $H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ and we can write

$$[F_0] = \sum_{\ell=0}^{d} \alpha_\ell L^{d-\ell}.(\phi^* H)^{k-d+\ell} \tag{3}$$

for some $\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_d \in \mathbb{Q}$. We now again let $\ell_0 \in \{0, \ldots, d\}$ and assume by induction that $\alpha_\ell = 0$ for all $\ell < \ell_0$. Then intersecting (3) with $L^{r-d+\ell_0}.(\phi^* H)^{m-k+d-\ell_0}$ yields

$$L^{r-d+\ell_0}.(\phi^* H)^{m-k+d-\ell_0}.[F_0] = \alpha_{\ell_0} L^r.(\phi^* H)^m. \tag{4}$$

For $\ell_0 < d$, the intersection product $(\phi^* H)^{m-k+d-\ell_0}.[F_0]$ vanishes, since $k \leq m$ and F_0 maps to a point via ϕ. So we obtain $\alpha_0 = \cdots = \alpha_{d-1} = 0$. Since X is projective, this implies $\alpha_d \neq 0$.

For $\ell_0 = d$, equation (4) yields

$$L^r.(\phi^* H)^{m-k}.[F_0] = \alpha_d L^r.(\phi^* H)^m.$$

As already observed, the right-hand side of this equation must be non-zero, while the left-hand side is non-zero if and only if $k = m$. □

We can now prove the main result of this section. Note that we must assume the family to be projective in order to apply the relative MMP.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $p\colon \mathcal{X} \to \Delta$ be a smooth projective morphism such that $X_t := p^{-1}(t)$ is a $\mathbb{P}^r$-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$ for all $t \neq 0$. Then, after possibly shrinking Δ, only the following two cases can occur:*

(i) There exists a rank-$(r+1)$ vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ over $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \Delta$ such that $\mathcal{X}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ over Δ.

(ii) There exists a rank-2 bundle $\mathcal{E}$ over $\mathbb{P}^r \times \Delta$ such that $\mathcal{X}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ over Δ (this case can only occur if $X_t \cong \mathbb{P}^r \times \mathbb{P}^1$ for $t \neq 0$).

Proof. Since X_t carries a $\mathbb{P}^r$-bundle structure for $t \neq 0$, $K_{\mathcal{X}}$ is not p-nef. By the relative cone and contraction theorems (cf. [Nak87, Thm. 4.12]), there exists a relative Mori contraction $\Phi\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ over Δ (after possibly shrinking Δ), where $q\colon \mathcal{Y} \to \Delta$ is a projective morphism. Let $\phi_t := \Phi|_{X_t}\colon X_t \to Y_t := q^{-1}(t)$. Then ϕ_t is a Mori contraction for any t. Since $\mathcal{Y}$ is irreducible, semicontinuity of fiber dimension implies that q is equidimensional.

In view of Proposition 3.1 and Remark 3.2, the general fiber of q is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^m$ for some $m \in \{1, r, r+1\}$. We observe that, for any $t \in \Delta$, the restriction $\operatorname{Pic}\mathcal{X} \to \operatorname{Pic} X_t$ is an isomorphism. In particular, there exists a line bundle $\mathcal{L} \in \operatorname{Pic}\mathcal{X}$ such that $\mathcal{L}|_{X_t} \cong \phi_t^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(1)$ for $t \neq 0$. It follows that $\mathcal{L}$ is numerically trivial on the fibers of ϕ_0, so, since ϕ_0 is a Mori contraction,

$$\mathcal{L}|_{X_0} = \phi_0^* L' \quad \text{for some } L' \in \operatorname{Pic} Y_0.$$

By semicontinuity, $h^0(L') \geq m+1$. Since furthermore $c_1(L')^n = 1$, we have $(Y_0, L') \cong (\mathbb{P}^m, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(1))$ by [KO73, Thm 1.1] (see also [Fuj90, I.1.2]), so in particular,

$$\mathcal{Y} \cong \mathbb{P}^m \times \Delta.$$

We now first consider the case $m \in \{1, r\}$. This means that ϕ_t gives to X_t a $\mathbb{P}^{r+1-m}$-bundle structure over $\mathbb{P}^m$ for $t \neq 0$. Since we have shown above that $Y_0 \cong \mathbb{P}^m$, we can apply Lemma 3.3 to conclude that ϕ_0 is equidimensional. A general fiber of ϕ_0 is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{r+1-m}$, since it is a smooth degeneration of the fibers of ϕ_t, $t \neq 0$. We can now apply [Fuj87, 2.12] to conclude that ϕ_0 is indeed a $\mathbb{P}^{r+1-m}$-bundle. Using again the fact that $\operatorname{Pic}\mathcal{X} \to \operatorname{Pic} X_t$ is an isomorphism, we obtain a line bundle $\mathcal{H} \in \operatorname{Pic}\mathcal{X}$ whose restriction to any fiber of Φ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r+1-m}}(1)$. Setting $\mathcal{E} := \Phi_* \mathcal{H}$, we obtain an isomorphism $\mathcal{X} \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ over Δ.

It remains to treat the case $m = r+1$. Then

$$\Phi\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{P}^{r+1} \times \Delta$$

is birational. Let $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{X}$ be the exceptional set of Φ. Since Φ is a Mori contraction and $W_t := X_t \cap \mathcal{W}$ is a divisor in X_t for $t \neq 0$, it follows that $\mathcal{W}$ is an irreducible divisor in $\mathcal{X}$. Let $\mathcal{Z} := \Phi(\mathcal{W}) \subset \mathcal{Y}$ and $Z_t := \mathcal{Z} \cap Y_t$. Then $\mathcal{Z}$ is an irreducible subscheme of $\mathcal{Y} \cong \mathbb{P}^{r-1} \times \Delta$ and hence flat over the smooth curve Δ ([Har77, Prop. III.9.7]). Now by Proposition 3.1, we know that $Z_t \subset \mathbb{P}^{r+1}$ is a codimension-2 linear subspace for $t \neq 0$, so by flatness, $Z_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ is an $(r-1)$-dimensional subscheme of degree 1, hence Z_0 must also be a linearly embedded $\mathbb{P}^{r-1} \subset \mathbb{P}^{r+1}$. It follows that X_0 is isomorphic to the blow-up of $Y_0 \cong \mathbb{P}^{r+1}$ along Z_0 by [ESB89, Theorem 1.1]. So in this case, $\mathcal{X}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus r} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)) \times \Delta$ over Δ. □

Remark 3.5. $\mathbb{P}^r$-bundles over $\mathbb{P}^1$ were already studied for arbitrary r by Brieskorn in [Bri65]. The problem of classifying (global) deformations of such bundles was raised there, but was only solved for $r = 1$. The case $r = 2$ has been considered in [Nak98].

4. Almost homogeneous projective bundles

In this section, we investigate criteria for projective bundles to be almost homogeneous.

The following Lemma enables us to construct from a given almost homogeneous projective bundle an almost homogeneous projective bundle of higher dimension:

Lemma 4.1. *Let M be a complex manifold with $H^1(\mathcal{O}_M) = 0$ and let E be a vector bundle on M such that $\mathbb{P}(E)$ is almost homogeneous. If $H^0(E) \neq 0$ and $H^1(E^*) = 0$, then also $\mathbb{P}(E \oplus \mathcal{O}_M)$ is almost homogeneous.*

Proof. Let $0 \neq s \in H^0(E)$. For any $t \in \mathbb{C}$, we consider the section $\tilde{s}_t := (ts, 1) \in H^0(E \oplus \mathcal{O}_M)$. We obtain a short exact sequence of vector bundles

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_M \xrightarrow{\cdot \tilde{s}_t} E \oplus \mathcal{O}_M \longrightarrow Q_t \longrightarrow 0.$$

It follows from the snake lemma that $Q_t \cong E$ for any $t \in \mathbb{C}$.

We now write $X := \mathbb{P}(E \oplus \mathcal{O}_M)$ and interpret $\tilde{s}_t$ as an element of $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(1))$, where we denote by $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ the tautological line bundle on $\mathbb{P}(E \oplus \mathcal{O}_M)$. The zero locus of this section is a divisor $Y_t \subset X$ with $Y_t \cong \mathbb{P}(Q_t) \cong \mathbb{P}(E)$ for any $t \in \mathbb{C}$. Its normal bundle is given by $N_{Y_t|X} \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y_t}(1)$, so we have in particular $H^0(N_{Y_t|X}) \cong H^0(E)$ by the Leray spectral sequence.

Clearly, the one-dimensional subpace of $H^0(N_{Y_t|X})$ defined by the family $(Y_t)_{t\in\mathbb{C}}$ is generated by the section $s \in H^0(E)$ chosen at the beginning. If we consider the long exact cohomology sequence associated to the normal bundle sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow T_{Y_t} \longrightarrow T_X|_{Y_t} \longrightarrow N_{Y_t|X} \longrightarrow 0, \tag{5}$$

the section $s \in H^0(N_{Y_t|X})$ maps to an element $\xi \in H^1(T_{Y_t})$ which describes the infinitesimal change of the complex structure on Y_t in the family $(Y_t)_{t\in\mathbb{C}}$. But we have seen above that all Y_t are isomorphic, so it follows that $\xi = 0$. This implies that s lifts to a section in $H^0(T_X|_{Y_t})$. Since $T_{Y_t} \cong T_{\mathbb{P}(E)}$ is

generically globally generated by hypothesis, the sequence (5) then implies that also $T_X|_{Y_t}$ is generically globally generated.

We now let $x \in Y_t$ be a general point such that $T_{X,x}$ is generated by global sections in $H^0(T_X|_{Y_t})$. In order to show that $T_{X,x}$ is also generated by global sections in $H^0(T_X)$, it is sufficient to prove that the natural restriction map $H^0(T_X) \to H^0(T_X|_{Y_t})$ is surjective. By the long exact cohomology sequence associated to

$$0 \longrightarrow T_X(-Y_t) \longrightarrow T_X \longrightarrow T_X|_{Y_t} \longrightarrow 0,$$

it suffices to show that $H^1(T_X(-Y_t)) = 0$. To this aim, we first denote by $\pi\colon X \to M$ the natural projection and consider the relative tangent sequence tensorized by $\mathcal{O}_X(-Y_t)$:

$$0 \longrightarrow T_{X/M}(-Y_t) \longrightarrow T_X(-Y_t) \longrightarrow \pi^* T_M(-Y_t) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{6}$$

Since $\mathcal{O}_X(-Y_t) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(-1)$, we have $H^q(\pi^* T_M(-Y_t)) = 0$ for all q by the Leray spectral sequence. From the long exact cohomology sequence associated to (6), we thus conclude $H^1(T_X(-Y_t)) \cong H^1(T_{X/M}(-Y_t))$.

We finally consider the relative Euler sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(1) \otimes \pi^*(E^* \oplus \mathcal{O}_M) \longrightarrow T_{X/M} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Tensorizing this sequence by $\mathcal{O}_X(-Y_t) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(-1)$ yields

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-Y_t) \longrightarrow \pi^*(E^* \oplus \mathcal{O}_M) \longrightarrow T_{X/M}(-Y_t) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since again $H^q(\mathcal{O}_X(-Y_t)) = 0$ for all q by Leray, we obtain

$$H^1(T_{X/M}(-Y_t)) \cong H^1(\pi^*(E^* \oplus \mathcal{O}_M)) \cong H^1(E^* \oplus \mathcal{O}_M) = 0.$$

So we conclude that $H^1(T_X(-Y_t)) = 0$, which implies by our previous considerations that $T_{X,x}$ is generated by global sections in $H^0(T_X)$. □

As a first application of this Lemma, we consider projective bundles over $\mathbb{P}^1$ and reprove a classically known fact (cf. [Bri65]):

Corollary 4.2. *Let X be a $\mathbb{P}^r$-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$. Then X is almost homogeneous.*

Proof. Any $\mathbb{P}^r$-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$ is of the form $\mathbb{P}(V)$ for some rank-$(r+1)$-bundle V on $\mathbb{P}^1$. By Grothendieck, V splits as a direct sum of line bundles, so, after tensorizing with a suitable line bundle, we can assume

$$V \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_r), \qquad a_1, \ldots, a_r \geq 0.$$

If we now let $E := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_r)$, we can assume by induction on r that $\mathbb{P}(E)$ is almost homogeneous. But now clearly $H^0(E) \neq 0$ and $H^1(E) = 0$, so we can apply Lemma 4.1 to conclude that $X = \mathbb{P}(V)$ is almost homogeneous. □

In a similar spirit, the following Corollary constructs higher-dimensional almost homogeneous projective bundles over $\mathbb{P}^n$ for $n \geq 2$ if given an almost homogeneous projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(E)$ over $\mathbb{P}^n$. An important application is the case $E = T_{\mathbb{P}^n}$, the varieties $\mathbb{P}(T_{\mathbb{P}^n})$, $n \geq 2$, being classical examples of rational homogeneous spaces.

Corollary 4.3. *Let E be a vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^n$, $n \geq 2$, such that $\mathbb{P}(E)$ is almost homogeneous. Let $d_1, \ldots, d_r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $H^0(E(-d_j)) \neq 0$ and $H^1(E^*(d_j)) = 0$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, r$. Then $\mathbb{P}(E \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d_r))$ is almost homogeneous. In particular, $\mathbb{P}(T_{\mathbb{P}^n} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus r})$ is almost homogeneous for any $r \geq 0$.*

Proof. The case $r = 0$ is trivial; for $r \geq 1$ we let $E' := E \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d_{r-1})$. By induction, we can assume that $\mathbb{P}(E')$ is almost homogeneous. The given hypotheses imply $H^0(E'(-d_r)) \neq 0$ and $H^1(E'^*(d_r)) = 0$. So we can apply Lemma 4.1 to conclude that also $\mathbb{P}(E'(-d_r) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}) \cong \mathbb{P}(E' \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d_r)) = \mathbb{P}(E \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d_r))$ is almost homogeneous. □

In order to deal with global deformations of almost homogeneous projective bundles, we need criteria for certain projectivized unstable vector bundles to be almost homogeneous:

Lemma 4.4. *Let M be a compact Kähler manifold and let E be a rank-2 vector bundle on M which is slope-unstable with respect to a Kähler form ω on M. Let $D \subset E$ be the maximally destabilizing subsheaf and let $Z \subset M$ be the codimension-2 locally complete intersection subscheme defined by the natural short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow D \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow D^* \otimes \det E \otimes \mathcal{I}_Z \longrightarrow 0. \tag{7}$$

(i) Let $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $L_1, \ldots, L_r \in \operatorname{Pic} M$. If $\mathbb{P}(E \oplus L_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_r)$ is almost homogeneous, then the group

$$\operatorname{Aut}^0_Z M := \{\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}^0 M \mid \varphi(Z) = Z\}$$

acts on M with an open orbit.

(ii) Suppose $H^1(\mathcal{O}_M) = 0$, $H^1(D^{\otimes 2} \otimes \det E^*) = 0$ and $h^0(D^{\otimes 2} \otimes \det E^*) \geq 2$ (these conditions are automatically satisfied for $M = \mathbb{P}^n$). If $\operatorname{Aut}^0_Z M$ acts on M with an open orbit, then $\mathbb{P}(E)$ is almost homogeneous.

Proof. Observe first that the maximally destabilizing subsheaf $D \subset E$ is uniquely determined.

In order to prove (i), we let $\tilde{E} := E \oplus L_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_r$ and suppose that $\mathbb{P}(\tilde{E})$ is almost homogeneous. We denote by $\pi\colon \mathbb{P}(\tilde{E}) \to M$ the natural projection. Since $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\tilde{E})} = \mathcal{O}_M$, any automorphism $\psi \in \operatorname{Aut}^0 \mathbb{P}(\tilde{E})$ induces an automorphism $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}^0 M$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}(\tilde{E}) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathbb{P}(\tilde{E}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\ M & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & M \end{array} \tag{8}$$

commutes. In the case that E is a direct sum of two line bundles, we have $Z = \emptyset$, so by the existence of diagram (8), $\operatorname{Aut}^0_Z M = \operatorname{Aut}^0 M$ acts on M with an open orbit.

In the case that E is not a direct sum of two line bundles, we must study diagram (8) more thoroughly. By the universal property of the pullback, ψ

factors as $\psi = \tau \circ \tilde{\psi}$ where τ and $\tilde{\psi}$ are such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{P}(\tilde{E}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\psi}} & \mathbb{P}(\varphi^*\tilde{E}) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \mathbb{P}(\tilde{E}) \\ & \searrow^{\pi} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi^*\pi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\ & & M & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & M \end{array} \tag{9}$$

commutes. Since ψ and τ are isomorphisms, also $\tilde{\psi}$ must be an isomorphism. This implies that there exists a line bundle $L \in \operatorname{Pic} M$ such that $\varphi^*\tilde{E} \cong \tilde{E} \otimes L$, i.e.,

$$\varphi^*E \oplus \varphi^*L_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \varphi^*L_r \cong (E \otimes L) \oplus (L_1 \otimes L) \oplus \cdots \oplus (L_r \otimes L).$$

Since E is unsplit, we must have

$$\varphi^*E \cong E \otimes L \tag{10}$$

by the uniqueness of the direct sum decomposition of vector bundles. Since φ^* acts trivially on $H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$, the Kähler form $\varphi^*\omega$ is numerically equivalent to ω. This implies that φ^*D is the maximally ω-destabilizing subsheaf of φ^*E. Furthermore, clearly $D \otimes L$ is the maximally (ω-)destablilizing subsheaf of $E \otimes L$. By (10) and the uniqueness of the maximally destabilizing subsheaf, the two short exact sequences one obtains from (7) by applying φ^* respectively by tensorizing with L must be the same, so in particular, we obtain $\mathcal{I}_{\varphi^{-1}(Z)} \cong \mathcal{I}_Z$, so $\varphi(Z) = Z$, finishing the proof of (i).

Turning to (ii), we now suppose that $\operatorname{Aut}^0_Z M$ acts on M with an open orbit. Let $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}^0 M$ be any automorphism with $\varphi(Z) = Z$. Applying φ^* to (7), we obtain

$$0 \longrightarrow \varphi^*D \longrightarrow \varphi^*E \longrightarrow \varphi^*D^* \otimes \det \varphi^*E \otimes \mathcal{I}_Z \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $H^1(\mathcal{O}_M) = 0$, we have $\varphi^*D \cong D$ and $\det \varphi^*E \cong \det E$, so the bunde φ^*E is an extension of the same rank-1 sheaves as the bundle E. Since $H^1(D^{\otimes 2} \otimes \det E^*) = 0$, there is, up to isomorphism, only one locally free sheaf given by such an extension (cf. [OSS80, §1.5.1]), so we obtain $\varphi^*E \cong E$. In particular, we get an isomorphism $\tilde{\psi} \colon \mathbb{P}(E) \to \mathbb{P}(\varphi^*E)$ over M such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{P}(E) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\psi}} & \mathbb{P}(\varphi^*E) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \mathbb{P}(E) \\ & \searrow^{\pi} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi^*\pi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\ & & M & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & M \end{array}$$

is commutative, where the right-hand part of this diagram is just the pull-back square analogous to diagram (9). If we let $\psi := \tau \circ \tilde{\psi}$, we get an automorphism $\psi \in \operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{P}(E)$ which induces the given automorphism $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}^0_Z M$.

To conclude, it suffices to show that there exists an at least 1-dimensional family of automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}(E)$ over M. This is equivalent to showing that

$$h^0(\operatorname{End} E) = h^0(E^* \otimes E) \geq 2.$$

But now, tensoring (7) with $E^* \cong E \otimes \det E^*$ yields

$$0 \longrightarrow E \otimes D \otimes \det E^* \longrightarrow E^* \otimes E \longrightarrow E \otimes D^* \otimes \mathcal{I}_Z \longrightarrow 0.$$

Finally, $D \subset E$ implies $D^{\otimes 2} \otimes \det E^* \subset E \otimes D \otimes \det E^*$, so we obtain

$$h^0(E^* \otimes E) \geq h^0(E \otimes D \otimes \det E^*) \geq h^0(D^{\otimes 2} \otimes \det E^*) \geq 2. \qquad \square$$

5. Examples of global deformations

In order to obtain global deformations of projectivized rank-2 vector bundles where almost homogeneity is not preserved, we cite the following construction by Strømme:

Proposition 5.1 ([Str83, §4])**.** *Let E be a rank-2 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^2$ with first Chern class $c_1 := c_1(E)$. Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ and suppose that we are given*

(i) a section $\tau \in H^0(E(d - c_1))$ vanishing along a subscheme $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ of codimension 2,
(ii) a section $F \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2d - c_1))$ whose zero divisor is disjoint from Z.

Then there exists a rank-2 vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \Delta$ such that $E_t := \mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{P}^2 \times \{t\}} \cong E$ for $t \neq 0$ and $E_0 \cong D$, where D is a rank-2 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^2$ fitting in the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d) \longrightarrow D \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z(c_1 - d) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We have $D \cong E$ if and only if $H^0(E(-d)) \neq 0$.

Remark 5.2. The assumptions in Proposition 5.1 can be satisfied for any rank-2 bundle E on $\mathbb{P}^2$ provided d is chosen sufficiently large.

Corollary 5.3. *For any $d \geq 2$ there exists a rank-2 vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \Delta$ with the following properties:*

(i) $E_t := \mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{P}^2 \times \{t\}}$ is isomorphic to $T_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ for all $t \neq 0$,
(ii) E_0 sits inside a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d) \longrightarrow E_0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z(3 - d) \longrightarrow 0, \tag{11}$$

where $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is a codimension-2 locally complete intersection given as the zero locus of a section in $H^0(T_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d - 3))$.

Proof. Since $d \geq 2$, the vector bundle $T_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d - 3)$ is globally generated, so a general section in $H^0(T_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d - 3))$ vanishes along a subscheme $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ of codimension 2. Furthermore, $2d - 3 \geq 1$, so there exists a divisor in $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2d - 3)|$ disjoint from Z. Thus we can apply Proposition 5.1. $\square$

We can use this construction to get examples for global deformations of almost homogeneous projective bundles:

Theorem 5.4. *Fix $d \geq 2$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the rank-2 bundle on $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \Delta$ constructed in Corollary 5.3. For any $r \geq 0$ we consider the family*

$$\mathcal{X} := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2 \times \Delta}(1)^{\oplus r}) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{P}^2 \times \Delta$$

over Δ. Then:

(i) For any $t \neq 0$, the fiber $X_t \cong \mathbb{P}(T_{\mathbb{P}^2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)^{\oplus r})$ is an $(r+3)$-dimensional almost homogeneous manifold.
(ii) If $d \geq 4$, the fiber $X_0 \cong \mathbb{P}(E_0 \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)^{\oplus r})$ is not almost homogeneous.
(iii) X_t is Fano if and only if $t \neq 0$.

Proof. (i) is just Corollary 4.3.

For (ii), we note that by Lemma 4.4 (i), it suffices to show that $\{\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}^0 \mathbb{P}^2 \mid \varphi(Z) = Z\}$ does not act on $\mathbb{P}^2$ with an open orbit. Since Z is given as the zero locus of a section $\tau \in H^0(T_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d-3))$, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \longrightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d-3) \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z(2d-3) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Tensorizing with $T_{\mathbb{P}^2}(3-2d)$ yields

$$0 \to T_{\mathbb{P}^2}(3-2d) \longrightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}^2} \otimes T_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-d) \longrightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}^2} \otimes \mathcal{I}_Z \longrightarrow 0.$$

But now since $d \geq 4$, we have

$$h^1(T_{\mathbb{P}^2}(3-2d)) = h^1(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2d-6)) = 0,$$

and from the Euler sequence it follows that also $H^0(T_{\mathbb{P}^2} \otimes T_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-d)) = 0$. So we obtain $H^0(T_{\mathbb{P}^2} \otimes \mathcal{I}_Z) = 0$ which means that there are no non-zero vector fields on $\mathbb{P}^2$ vanishing along Z.

It remains to prove (iii). We denote by $\pi_t \colon X_t \to \mathbb{P}^2$ the restriction of π to X_t. Standard calculations yield

$$K^*_{X_t} = \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(r+2) \otimes \pi_t^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-r).$$

Thus X_t is Fano if and only if the $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle

$$\mathcal{O}_{X_t}(1) \otimes \pi_t^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}\left(-\tfrac{r}{r+2}\right) \tag{12}$$

is ample. But if we now restrict the short exact sequence (11) to lines in $\mathbb{P}^2$, it follows immediately that E_0 is not ample. So also $E_0 \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)^{\oplus r}$ is not ample, which is equivalent to the statement that $\mathcal{O}_{X_0}(1)$ is not ample. Thus also (12) is not ample for $t = 0$. For $t \neq 0$, we observe that $T_{\mathbb{P}^2}(q)$ is an ample $\mathbb{Q}$-bundle for all rational $q > -1$, thus (12) is ample for $t \neq 0$. □

The argument used to prove Theorem 5.4 (iii) can be used to show that nontrivial global deformations of $\mathbb{P}(T_{\mathbb{P}^2})$ cannot be Fano. Furthermore, the the assumption $d \geq 4$ in Theorem 5.4 (ii) is actually necessary:

Theorem 5.5. *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a rank-2 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \Delta$ such that $E_t := \mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{P}^2 \times \{t\}}$ is isomorphic to $T_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ for all $t \neq 0$.*
(i) Assume that $\mathbb{P}(E_0)$ is Fano. Then $E_0 \cong T_{\mathbb{P}^2}$.
(ii) Suppose that $H^0(E_0(-4)) = 0$. Then $\mathbb{P}(E_0)$ is almost homogeneous.

Proof. If we let

$$d := \max\{\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \mid H^0(E_0(-\ell)) \neq 0\},$$

we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d) \longrightarrow E_0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z(3-d) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is a 0-dimensional locally complete intersection subscheme of length

$$\ell(Z) = c_2(E_0(-d)) = c_2(T_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-d)) = 3 - 3d + d^2.$$

By assumption, we have $d < 4$, and by semicontinuity, $d \geq 1$. If $d = 1$, it is a classic result that $E_0 \cong T_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ (see for example [OSS80, §2.3.2]), so we can assume that $d \geq 2$. In this case, the argument from the proof of Theorem 5.4 (iii) applies verbatim to show that $\mathbb{P}(E_0)$ cannot be Fano. Furthermore, we have $2d > 3 = c_1(E_0)$, so E_0 is an unstable bundle. Now if $d = 2$, we have $\ell(Z) = 1$, and if $d = 3$, we get $\ell(Z) = 3$. It is easily verified that for any 0-dimensional subscheme $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ with $\ell(Z) \leq 3$, the group $\{\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathbb{P}^2) \mid \varphi(Z) = Z\}$ acts on $\mathbb{P}^2$ with an open orbit. The claim that $\mathbb{P}(E_0)$ is almost homogeneous now follows from Lemma 4.4 (ii). □

Remark 5.6. A construction analogous to the one in Corollary 5.3 was given in [PS14, §6] for $T_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ for arbitrary $n \geq 2$. Unfortunately, for $n \geq 3$, the arguments used in the proofs of Theorems 5.4 and 5.5 no longer work, so it is unclear whether one can obtain non-almost homogeneous global deformations of $\mathbb{P}(T_{\mathbb{P}^n})$ for $n \geq 3$.

References

[Bot57] Raoul Bott, *Homogeneous vector bundles*, Ann. of Math. (2) **66** (1957), 203–248.

[Bri65] Egbert Brieskorn, *Über holomorphe P_n-Bündel über P_1*, Math. Ann. **157** (1965), 343–357.

[Cat04] Fabrizio Catanese, *Deformation in the large of some complex manifolds. I*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **183** (2004), no. 3, 261–289.

[ESB89] Lawrence Ein and Nicholas Shepherd-Barron, *Some special Cremona transformations*, Amer. J. Math. **111** (1989), no. 5, 783–800.

[Fuj87] Takao Fujita, *On polarized manifolds whose adjoint bundles are not semipositive*, Algebraic geometry, Sendai, 1985, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 10, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987, pp. 167–178.

[Fuj90] ———, *Classification theories of polarized varieties*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 155, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[Har77] Robin Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.

[KO73] Shoshichi Kobayashi and Takushiro Ochiai, *Characterizations of complex projective spaces and hyperquadrics*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **13** (1973), 31–47.

[Kod66] K. Kodaira, *On the structure of compact complex analytic surfaces. II*, Amer. J. Math. **88** (1966), 682–721.

[KS58] K. Kodaira and D. C. Spencer, *On deformations of complex analytic structures. I, II*, Ann. of Math. (2) **67** (1958), 328–466.

[Mok16] Ngaiming Mok, *Geometric structures and substructures on uniruled projective manifolds.*, Foliation theory in algebraic geometry. Proceedings of the conference, New York, NY, USA, September 3–7, 2013, Cham: Springer, 2016, pp. 103–148 (English).

[Nak87] Noboru Nakayama, *The lower semicontinuity of the plurigenera of complex varieties*, Algebraic geometry, Sendai, 1985, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., no. 10, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987, pp. 551–590.

[Nak98] Iku Nakamura, *Global deformations of $\mathbf{P}^2$-bundles over $\mathbf{P}^1$*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **38** (1998), no. 1, 29–54.

[OSS80] Christian Okonek, Michael Schneider, and Heinz Spindler, *Vector bundles on complex projective spaces*, Progress in Mathematics, no. 3, Birkhäuser Boston, Mass., 1980.

[Pot69] Joseph Potters, *On almost homogeneous compact complex analytic surfaces*, Invent. Math. **8** (1969), 244–266.

[PP10] Boris Pasquier and Nicolas Perrin, *Local rigidity of quasi-regular varieties*, Math. Z. **265** (2010), no. 3, 589–600.

[PS14] Thomas Peternell and Florian Schrack, *Contact Kähler manifolds: symmetries and deformations*, Algebraic and complex geometry, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., vol. 71, Springer, Cham, 2014, pp. 285–308.

[Str83] Stein Arild Strømme, *Deforming vector bundles on the projective plane*, Math. Ann. **263** (1983), no. 3, 385–397.

Mathematisches Institut, Universität Bayreuth, 95440 Bayreuth, Germany

E-mail address: florian.schrack@uni-bayreuth.de